



**University of Toronto Graduate Students' Union General Council/ Board of Directors  
Meeting**

**Zoom Meeting Tuesday, November 23, 2021  
5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.**

**Directors must register by emailing [register@utgsu.ca](mailto:register@utgsu.ca) before the meeting.**

**1. Meeting Comes to Order**

The meeting is called to order at 5:30pm.

- a. Equity Statement (Commitment) *(Page 3)*

The Chair requests for people to read out the Equity Statement.

- b. Adoption of Agenda (Discussion & Decision) <sup>1b</sup>

**MOTION 21-11-1b: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors adopt the November 23, 2021 meeting agenda as presented or amended. Moved by Mynt M (Cinema Studies), seconded by Adam B (Classics). MOTION CARRIES with an abstention.**

- c. Seating Guests and Media <sup>1c</sup>

Notes: Adam H (CTLSA) point of order: people need to be identified and are usually motioned to be able to speak, otherwise we are moving a motion to seat people that we cannot identify yet as guests.

The Chair states that we can re-do the vote.

Adam H (CTLSA) states that usually this comes first to avoid issues.

The Chair asks guests and media to identify themselves in the meeting.

**MOTION 21-11-1c: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors move to seat all guests and media with speaking rights. Moved by Adam B (Classics), seconded by Adam H (CTLSA). MOTION CARRIES.**

- d. Approval of Minutes from the September 28 Meeting <sup>1d</sup>

Notes: Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that they may need to use the chat function as an accommodation. Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that there should be a way to edit previous minutes because we have lapsed from policy. They state that they have reached out to the Equity Officer regarding access for members and accommodations.

The Chair states that we are discussing approving the minutes and that the secretary has noted

this in the minutes.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that there are minutes missing from the zoom chat from the last meeting and that they are requesting an appendix to the minutes.

The Chair advises people to raise their hands if they are going to use the zoom chat for accommodation purposes.

The Chair announces that we have an Equity Officer, Melissa, who will be monitoring the meeting. The Chair also announces that she has been offered a full time position in her field and will not be able to Chair the UTGSU meetings moving forward.

**MOTION 21-11-1d: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors approve the minutes from the October 26th, 2021 meeting. Moved by Joel M (SJE DSA), seconded by Charles B (Civil & Mineral Engineering). MOTION CARRIES with abstentions.**

## **2. Reports**

### **a. Executive Committee Reports (Information & Decision) 2a**

Sarah A (IC) states that she has been working on the restructuring with the Executive Director and the legal counsel. Sarah A (IC) has been handling council-related matters and setting up the board of directors meetings.

Dhanela S (AFC 1&2) has been working with individual students regarding department advocacy issues and connecting with senior administration at the University of Toronto to ensure student spaces are more accessible. They are also doing research on the ways that they can collaborate with external groups regarding funding and lobbying a campaign around student debt.

Justin P (EC) explains that the Canadian Federation of Students national general meeting was this past week and they were able to put forth multiple amendments to increase solidarity with student movements and unions internationally. In addition to this, the CFS has offered to provide us with training on how to organize campaigns and other forms of activism.

Lwanga M (UGC) has attached their last report to this one and that is why it might seem longer than usual.

An-Noûra C (CEC) has been working on the environment and civics committee legislative programming and has partnered with the Community Liaison Committee to discuss the University of Toronto expansions. They have been working with the student initiative fund to provide funding for students. An-Noûra (CEC) has been in discussion with L.E.A.P. to discuss fossil fuels, divestments and upcoming campaigns and has been answering student inquiries about the gym reopening.

June L (Finance) has been working on getting all of the UTGSU bursaries and grants up and running. The Black Graduate Student Excellence Bursary is now live. The Graduate Development Community Fund is also up and running. The academic engagement conference grant and the special request grants are upcoming.

Danielle K (AFC 3&4) has been faced with a lot of issues that require escalation and has been working with the dean and vice dean of graduate studies to come to a resolution. They are giving the food bank a push to get their proposal in for the AGM.

Adam H (CTLSA) is asking about the Women and Trans Caucus levy and whether or not an executive can speak to what came from this situation (if they have submitted financial statements or if there's been a re-continuation of their funding).

June L (Finance) states they are waiting for their audit and that the Centre for Women and Trans People (CWTP) will provide it when it is available.

Dhanela S (AFC 1&2) clarifies that the Women & Trans Caucus is up and running and that Adam H (CTLSA) was talking about the CWTP.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) is requesting for the executives to update council about the town hall in relation to one of the committees.

The Chair states that we are currently accepting the reports from the Executive Committee and that this is not related to the vote. The Chair suggests emailing one of the executives so that Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) can have this resolved.

**MOTION: 21-11-2a: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors accept the Executive Committee reports up until November 19<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Moved by Adam B (Classics), seconded by Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) MOTION CARRIES.**

b. Course Unions (Information & Discussion)

Adam B (Classics) says that their course union's name is not correct on the website and is asking who they should get in touch with about this? They are also asking if course unions need to be listed on ULife as recognized student associations and who they should get in touch with to confirm?

Brie (ED) states that course unions do not need to be registered for ULife. You can create a listing if you'd like to, as long as you're listed as a course union, and then you can contact the campus groups officer to create a ULife profile. It is not required but she understands that people are interested in doing this.

Joel M (SJE DSA) is asking if the SJE DSA constitution can be linked to the UTGSU website?

The Chair thanks Joel (SJE DSA) for letting them know.

c. Standing Committees, Ad-hoc Committees, and Caucuses (Information & Discussion)

No announcements or reports given.

d. Governing Council, Graduate Education Council, CUPE 3902, USW 1998 (Information & Discussion)

No announcements or reports given.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that they would like to invite any information about the two directly elected graduate student reps on the governing council.

The Chair states that none of them are present to give information on that matter.

e. Motion to Receive All Other Reports (Decision)

**MOTION: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors move to receive all other reports. Moved by Marcus C (Political Science), seconded by Hannah D (Music). MOTION CARRIES.**

**3. Financial Business**

a. Fiscal Year 2021 Draft Financial Statements <sup>3a</sup>

June L (Finance) states that the audit was successful and that they passed. They also have a 2022 budget created. If anyone has any questions about the draft financial statements they can ask them here.

**MOTION: 21-11-3a: BIRT the UTGSU General Council approves the draft Financial Statement for the 2020-2021 fiscal year. Moved by Joseph D (Political Science), seconded by Albi B (Economics). MOTION CARRIES.**

b. Fiscal Year 2021 Budget <sup>3b</sup>

June L (Finance) explains the changes in the new budget for 2022. The equity access grant is now \$20,000. The staff expenses went up by \$10,000 as they hired a new staff member and they now have a staff roster. The Women & Trans people Caucus are much more active this year, therefore their amount has increased.

Padraic B (Guest, Varsity) states that due to COVID, the union did not spend as much on orientation and therefore the spending was less. Their question is: in the coming year, does the union expect to be spending more on orientation? If not, where does the money go?

June L (Finance) explains that before orientation was in person which means that more money was spent, however, the last couple years, orientations have been online. June L (Finance) is not certain if they will be doing full in-person orientations next year, however, if they do not, then the money will not get spent and will go back into the surplus.

**MOTION: 21-11-3b: BIRT the UTGSU General Council approves the proposed budget for the 2021-2022 fiscal year. Moved by Lwanga M (UGC), seconded by Diana B (APHD, OISE). MOTION CARRIES.**

c. UTGSU Student Conference Bursary Policy (*Page 04*)

June L (Finance) explains that they are proposing that student conference bursaries should be changed to be 2-cycle instead of 3-cycle with the application window open longer. The reason they are proposing this is because the 3-cycle model that is currently in place is confusing for students and the application window is very short. June L (Finance) would like to put forth a motion for this amendment.

**MOTION: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors adopt the new terms with the 2-cycles for the Student Conference Bursary. Moved by June L (Finance), seconded by Mynt M (Cinema Studies). MOTION CARRIES.**

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) says that it would be useful for the finance commissioner to address a financial point from past practice: whatever amounts budgeted for that are not used go to an unrestricted surplus. If any groups, committees or caucuses get more active during the year, they have been invited to draw funds from the unrestricted surplus, given council discussion and approval.

The Chair clarifies that what Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) is posing is already what June L (Finance) was stating about the restricted surplus.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) explains that best practice would be that committees and caucuses in the past have been invited to apply to receive funds, in addition to what was initially budgeted and approved.

The Chair asks June L (Finance) to confirm. June L (Finance) explains that the unspent money goes to an unrestricted surplus. June L (Finance) states that they encourage all caucuses and committees to use up the funds that they are given and if they ask for more money, it will be distributed accordingly.

#### **4. CRO Nominating Committee (Page 05)**<sup>4</sup>

The Chair states that she is opening the floor for anyone who is interested in this position.

Brie (ED) encourages people to join the committee and that if there isn't a CRO, we will not be able to have our elections.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) point of privilege: they are asking for the CRO Nominating Committee description to be on the screen. Brie (ED) displays the description on the screen. The Chair states that this was also included in the package that was sent out.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that there is nothing to amend anymore in the bylaw amendments and it was confirmed in the December 2020 AGM. Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) is clarifying this point.

The Chair states that if anyone would like to run for this position to come forward in this meeting.

Brie (ED) states again that we need to find a CRO to get this process going.

Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) is volunteering to join the CRO.

Jordan B (Anthropology) would like to volunteer, however, they'd like to clarify whether or not this would exclude them from being on the general council. Brie (ED) states that it would not exclude them.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that there needs to be discussion. They state that one rep

should be from Division 1&2 and the other from Division 3&4.

**MOTION 21-11-4: BIRT the UTGSU General Council appoint Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) & Jordan B (Anthropology) as the member(s) of the CRO Nominating Committee. Moved by Christiana M (Linguistics), seconded by Joel M (SJE DSA). MOTION CARRIES.**

**5. EAL Elections (Page 06) <sup>5</sup>**

The Chair is asking the person interested in this position to come forward in the meeting and give a description about themselves.

Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) explains that they are doing a Masters in Civil Engineering. They are an international student and have experience in the CIE. They would like to help with international student support and create opportunities for growth for students in all communities to ensure that our campus is equitable and inclusive. They have experience being a member of the grad life advisory committee, being chair of the board of directors at varsity publications, and volunteer experience at CIE. Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) would like to improve communication barriers between students and management through frequent town halls, as well as focus on the allocation of scholarships for international students. They would like to create more work-study opportunities for marginalized communities as well as focus on the mental wellbeing of graduate students.

The Chair states that if there is anyone present who would like to elect themselves or like to elect another member, to please identify themselves now.

There are no interested members. The Chair opens the floor for questions.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) has a strong candidacy. Their question is: what committees would be the candidates choice to work with? What current projects, campaigns, and committees of the UTGSU do they know of or would like to join?

Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) would like to join committees to know more about campaigns and how they are being promoted.

**MOTION: 21-11-5: BIRT the UTGSU General Council elect Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) as the Executive Member at Large for 2021/2022. Moved by Sarah A (IC), seconded by Funmi C (CDTPS). MOTION CARRIES.**

The Chair asks Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) not to message her directly.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that the process in the past is that first we hold an election so that there is a vote of competence.

The Chair says that because Kirthika S (Civil and Mineral Engineering) was the only candidate, it was not necessary to follow that process.

The Chair moves to take a break. The meeting will resume at 7:05pm.

The Meeting resumes at 7:05pm.

**6. Executive Legislative Programs 2021/2022** <sup>6</sup>

a. Civics Committee

The Chair opens the floor to An-Noûra C (CEC)

An-Noûra C (CEC) has been working on the legislative programming of the Civics and Environment committee. They will be focusing on initiatives this year and will be working on the awareness of the upcoming 2022 provincial election and the different candidates profiles and what they will bring to Ontario. They are also working on the awareness and knowledge of Tenant's Rights within Ontario, specifically for people with lower income.

b. Environmental Justice and Sustainability Committee

An-Noûra C (CEC) explains that the Environmental Justice and Sustainability Committee will be working on and improving the knowledge around the investments of fossil fuels on campus and what individual steps can be taken to improve this. In addition to this, they will be focusing on greenhouse gas emissions and the ways in which greener steps can be taken moving forward.

**MOTION 21-11-6: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors receives the Executive Legislative Program from Civics and Environmental Justice for 2021/2022. Moved by Quinlan L (Economics), seconded by An-Noûra C (CEC). MOTION CARRIES.**

**7. Any Other Business**

a. UTGSU Restructure

Brie (ED) states that we were expecting Matthew, our lawyer, to discuss the restructure, however, he has not arrived yet. We are waiting a few minutes for him to arrive in the meeting.

Matthew J (Lawyer) presents the proposed restructure of the UTGSU. The document is also shared on the zoom screen. He discusses the different options for the restructure and states that the changes are meant to be compliant with the laws that we are under and have modified things to make the government bodies within the UTGSU more manageable. Amendments have been made to bylaws and this can be offered to membership prior to the AGM so that they can see the amendments first-hand. These developments and changes have been shared through the town-hall meetings and various general council meetings. Matthew J (Lawyer) states that it would be great to have guidance from council on which direction to move forward in.

The Chair opens the floor to questions.

Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) is curious to see if there has been any developments with the ROM rep position? There is significant interest from ROM students.

Matthew J (Lawyer) states that this was discussed prior, and that there is not an answer at this point. He explains that given the situation with the ROM, Option B in the proposed restructure would be the most "ready to use" option and that it's hard to determine whether or not a seat is appropriate. With Option B, it will allow people at the ROM to organize and speak to the executive committee, and further try to create a seat further on. At this point in time they were

not able to fit it in. Matthew J (Lawyer) explains that if Option B is chosen, there is room to add people represented from the ROM.

Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) clarifies that if there is a possibility of adding a seat later, what would this process look like and what is the time frame of when that seat would be added?

Matthew J (Lawyer) states that it could be as early as next year. It would need to be proposed at the AGM after consultation with the executive committee and general council to add the seat.

Rowan F (Ecology & Evolution) asks if it would be approved as soon as it is passed by council? Matthew J (Lawyer) says yes.

Brie (ED) explains that the restructure being presented is only the first phase and the conversation will be continuing as at this point they are only focusing on the top half of the UTGSU. They plan on looking at the course union compositions and that these conversations can continue.

Adam H (CTLSA) states that with Option A or Option B, where you have a small number of 34 with 4 appointed seats, those appointed seats could be vulnerable to abuse regarding consolidations of slates that get elected within divisional seats, coalitions or regimes. Limiting the appointments when you have such a small board to begin with can limit the chances for a long term regime staying in power. Option A could further be dangerous and Adam H (CTLSA) says this point is worth nothing.

Matthew J (Lawyer) echoes Adam H's (CTLSA) sentiments. There is a want to have equity representation and participation at the board and Matthew J (Lawyer) understands Adam H's (CTLSA) comments on that, however, it's more so about being ready for the change. Option B or C would be better for that.

Lynna A (Guest, SJE - A4W) states that they are looking through the CNCA and all they are finding about the number of directors in part 9 is that it is up to the bylaws of the corporation to determine the numbers. They are wondering if Matthew J (Lawyer) has come across a concrete number of directors?

Matthew J (Lawyer) explains that in his time, this has never happened. It may have happened prior to his time. You are expected to have between 3 and 50 directors. There is a grace period for the transition from the OCA to the CNCA and as long as we make these changes within the grace period of the transition we will be fine. There is always room for corrections, as long as it is handled appropriately. There is plenty of room to correct any mistakes, however, Matthew J (Lawyer) would not recommend that it is dragged on. They are trying to manage the board in a way that is more compliant with the CNCA.

Jenna Q (Political Science) is asking for clarification: would that be caucus putting names forward? How would appoints work in the context of elected seats?

Matthew J (Lawyer) states that appointed seats come after elected seats. There would be executive elections and directors elections at the same time and after this would be the appointment process. The appointed directors come from the elected directors. There is currently a framework that is in the bylaws, however, he recommends that between the time of the bylaw amendments in December and the elections in March, we should develop a policy that fine tunes that process.

Brie (ED) states that one of the motivations behind the appointed seats rather than elected seats is because students would be serving very specific mandates as opposed to general mandates. This is based a lot off of levy groups. Rather than asking students to run and be elected through the general membership, it would look more like an application process that can then come forward. This is something that can be worked out in the winter as there are a series of policies that will need to compliment this.

Joseph D (Political Science) asks: with plan A, are the appointed seats completely divorced from divisional proportionality?

Matthew J (Lawyer) states that it is divorced.

Brie (ED) is trying to provide better details about policy. If there is interest in combining some of these options, we can figure that out today. The question that has come up so far is: if people are all running from one department, can they sweep all of the division four seats? Theoretically, this could happen. We are looking to create an actual policy and package around the board of directors so that there are clear expectations around how many people can run and what the limitations would be. We can have further discussion in the winter semester.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) indicated in the chat that they have a follow-up question to Matthew J (Lawyer): could you mention what university student union you prepared bylaws for because you explained well in the past that you have experience with the one student union, could you tell us which one that was?

The Chair states that he does not have to answer the question due to confidentiality.

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) is asking for an explanation regarding the choice of number of directors in the articles of continuance that were submitted?

Matthew J (Lawyer) states they were authorized by council. Matthew J (Lawyer) explains that he tries to give a range that's acceptable given the situation that the organization is in and that the articles were shared. He does not see this being an issue. Matthew J (Lawyer) asks if Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) is raising this to be an issue, or if they are just stating it?

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) says that this was just for the record that there was no role or functioning committee that had authorization to work on this.

The Chair gives the floor to Emma K (Stats) for one last question.

Emma K (Stats) is asking if there can be a summary of why it matters how many seats there are so that they can make an informed vote?

Brie (ED) explains that this has been a project since December 2020. We were previously part of the ONCA and have been transitioning to the CNCA. In the discussion, there was a choice to do a bylaw review. What we did while also filing for this continuance is we looked at the way the UTGSU is currently organized, having over 100 board members is not the most functional option. This has been looked at for a long time and did not come together until we filed for the CNCA. This is to give the UTGSU a fresh start and figure out new ways for students to get involved. Brie (ED) continues to give a summary and that states that if there are any further questions, they can send her an email.

Adam H (CTLSA) is asking if it's true that the executives filed articles for continuance sometime on or before June before consulting the membership or seeking mandate to do so from the general membership?

Matthew J (Lawyer) says that he does not fully understand the question.

Adam H (CTLSA) states the board of directors agreed to file continuance under the CNCA, we have not formerly sought out a mandate from the general membership to file for articles are continuance. Did we file for continuance before finding a mandate from the general membership?

Matthew J (Lawyer) states that he can't remember when the vote took place. He needs to look back on his records.

Sarah A (IC) clarifies that this has been discussed at the December AGM as well as in January and February, and has been repeated many times. There has been a town hall where this has been discussed as well and Sarah A (IC) does not see a need for this question.

A vote is being held to get an understanding of which options are preferred. This is not a motion.

Option A - 23

Option B - 25

Option C - 8

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) point of order: states that there are a number of directors that we are working in that was not filed in the articles of continuance with proper authorization (according to the legislation) and therefore we should not be proceeding with anything within that framework

The Chair states that we have discussed this before and asks for further clarification from the Internal Commissioner.

Sarah A (IC) explains that they were mandated by the board of directors to proceed with the CNCA restructuring. At the July board of directors meeting, they were authorized to proceed with this. This should be a matter of great concern for the board, as the board mandated us and now there is a charge being leveled against executives that we have not been authorized, which is not true. This should be looked into.

The Chair is moving forward with the motion as presented.

**MOTION: BIRT the UTGSU General Council/Board of Directors adopt Option B to be integrated into the Restructuring Framework. Moved by: Marcus C (Political Science), seconded by An-Noûra C (CEC). MOTION CARRIES with an objection.**

Adam H (CTLSA) states that they have no recollection of the executive ever communicating to the board of directors that they are filing for continuance on our behalf.

Brie (ED) is showing the motion on the screen. Brie (ED) reads the motion that states that the UTGSU/Board of Directors task the executive committee to file for continuance under the CNCA. This was at the February 16th, 2021 meeting. Brie (ED) explains that there was an

update in the July 2021 meeting that the continuance went through and was filed and the restructure was provided.

## **8. Adjournment**

The Chair is adjourning the meeting early as all topics have been discussed.

Mover: Adam B (Classics)

Second: Marcus C (Political Science)

Lynne A (Guest, SJE - A4W) point of order: states that we need to follow the process of appointing the new Council Chair.

The Chair states that we do not have to do this as the meeting has been voted adjourned.

Meeting is adjourned at 8:11pm.



Mia-Milan Pellegrino  
UTGSU General Council Chair



Nicole Bucar  
UTGSU General Council Secretary

## G.9.2 Equity Statement

G.9.2.1 The following statement expresses the Union's commitments to equity

and informs the way in which we conduct business of the Union. The statement will be read aloud during the opening address of meetings of the membership, the Board of Directors, and at UTGSU events:

As many of our members are settlers on Turtle Island, the Union directly benefits from the colonization and genocide of the indigenous peoples of this land. It is imperative that the Union acknowledge that it is on occupied land and that to engage in acts of decolonization is an important aspect of equity work in Canada.

Student Union solidarity is based on the principle that all members deserve to be equal, respected and should be understood. As members of the Union, mutual respect, cooperation and understanding are our goals. We do not condone or tolerate behavior that undermines the dignity of any individual. Expressions of hate such as intimidation, harassment, offensiveness or hostility will not be tolerated.

We will struggle against all forms of discrimination and harassment, including but not limited to: Islamophobic, anti-Semitic, xenophobic, sexist, racist, classist, ableist, homophobic, transphobic, ageist sentiments and/or remarks. We all have an obligation to ensure that an open and inclusive space, free of hate is established.

We support growth and understanding and believe in providing opportunities for individuals to acknowledge the impact of their remarks, with the understanding that the experiences of those impacted by discriminatory sentiments or remarks will be privileged.

<b>UTGSU Council Meeting November 23, 2021</b>		
<b>Dept</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>Last Name</b>
Anthropology	Jordan	Bryson
Anthropology	Kyle	Shaw-Muller
APHD (3 votes)	Diana	Burchell
Architecture, Landscape & Design (3 votes)	Alex	Sheinbaum
Architecture, Landscape & Design (3 votes)	Ophelia	Lau
Astronomy and Astrophysics	James	Lane
Biochemistry - 2 votes	Cami	Aprosoff
Biochemistry - 2 votes	Nicholas	Silver
Biomedical Engineering (3 votes)	Eric Cheung	Tsang
Biomedical Engineering (3 votes)	Janice	Pang
Cell & Systems Biology (2 votes)	Tristan	Philip
CERES	Cory	Osmond
Chemical Engineering (3 votes)	Sadaf	Kashi Kalhour
Chemistry - (3 votes)	Grace	Shimokura
Chemistry - (3 votes)	Kirsten	Yeung
Cinema Studies	Mynt	Marsellus
Civil & Mineral Engineering (3 votes)	Charles	Balkenbusch
Classics	Adam	Barker
Comparative Lit.	Dominic	Pizzolitto
Computer Science (3 votes)	Marina	Tawfik
CTL (4 votes)	Adam	Hill
CTL (4 votes)	Mingyi	Li
Drama	Funmilola	Cole
Ecology and Evolutionary Biology (1 vote)	Rowan	French
Economics (2 votes)	Quinlan	Lee
Economics (2 votes)	Albi	Bilali
English (2 votes)	Lindsey	Palmer
English (2 votes)	Walter	Villanueva
Environmental Studies (2 votes)	Frida	Cerna Neri
Exercise Sciences (2 votes)	Christopher	Pilioci
Forestry	Josh	Quattrociocchi
Geography and Planning (2 votes)	Liam	Fox
Germanic Languages & Lit	Maria	Harutyunyun
HAPSAT	Adriana	Ciucci
History (2 votes)	Alison	MacAuley
Immunology (2 votes)	Robbie	Jin
Information - Masters	Courtney	DeMaeyer
Information - PhD (1 vote)	Mariam	Karim
Lab Medicine and Pathobiology (3 votes)	Sasha	Blay
Lab Medicine and Pathobiology (3 votes)	Siobhan	Wilson
Law	Sara	Maadanisani

Law (2 votes)	Vidit	Deswal
Linguistics	Christiana	Moser
Management - PhD (1 vote)	Zohair	Alam
Mathematics (2 votes)	Mariam	Alhawaj
Mechanical and Industrial Engineering (3 votes)	Kaiwen	Zhang
Mechanical and Industrial Engineering (3 votes)	Mohammad	Roostaie
Medical Biophysics (3 votes)	Aiden	Gray
Medical Biophysics (3 votes)	Ian	Cheong
Medical Science (3 votes)	Daniel	Diatlov
Medical Science (3 votes)	Danny	Bojic
Medical Science (3 votes)	Shengjie	Ying
Medieval Studies	Olena	Karbach
Molecular Genetics (3 votes)	Anson	Sathaseevan
Molecular Genetics (3 votes)	Emily	Xiong
Molecular Genetics (3 votes)	Iosifina	Fotiadou
Museum Studies (1 vote)	Rebecca	Ford
Music (3 votes)	Hannah	Davis-Abraham
Nursing (3 votes)	Claire	Smith
Nutritional Sciences (1 vote)	Hailey Yahan	Yang
Nutritional Sciences (1 vote)	Yahan	Yang
Occupational Science & Therapy (2 votes)	Isabella	Alonso
Occupational Science & Therapy (2 votes)	Jade	Langille
Occupational Science & Therapy (2 votes)	Japneet	Brar
Pharmaceutical Sciences (2 votes)	Chris	Rodgers
Pharmacology (2 votes)	Nidhi	Kulkarni
Philosophy	Julia	Minarik
Physical Therapy (3 votes)	Maggie	Wilberforce
Physical Therapy (3 votes)	Tyler	Rose Muirhead
Physics (2 votes)	Robyn	Learn
Poli Sci	Marcus	Closen
Political Science (2 votes)	Jenna	Quelch
Political Science (2 votes)	Joseph	Dattilo
Psychology (2 votes)	Ella	Lam
Psychology (2 votes)	Nayani	Ramakrishnan
Public Policy & Governance (2 votes)	Michelle	Gandhi
Public Policy & Governance (2 votes)	Padraic	Berting
Religious Studies	Anna	Cwikla
Scarborough GSAS (3 votes)	Keuna	Jeon
Scarborough GSAS (3 votes)	Lisa	Labine
Slavic	Braxton	Boyer
Social Justice Education Student Caucus (3 votes)	Joel	Mukwedeya
Social Work - MSW (3 votes)	Sarah	Anderson
Sociology (1 vote)	Maria	Finnsdottir

UTMAGS (3 votes)	Harsimran	Garcha
------------------	-----------	--------

<b>Executive Committee</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>Last Name</b>
Academics 1 & 2 Commissioner	Dhanela	Sivparan
Academics 3 &4 Commissioner	Danielle	Karakas
Civics and Environment Commissioner	AnNoura	Compare
External Commissioner	Justin	Patrick
Finance Commissioner	June	Li
Internal Commissioner	Sarah	Alam
University Governance Commissioner	Lwanga	Musisi

<b>GUESTS</b>		
<b>Dept/Affiliation</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>Last Name</b>
Civil Engineering	Kirthika	Shanmugham
Equity Officer	Melissa	Theodore
Public Health	Heba	Qazilbash
Molecular Genetics	Kiki	Huang
SJE/Access for Wellbeing	Lynne	Alexandrova
Nutritional Sciences	Katie	P
Statistics	Emma	Kroell
Public Health	Brittany	H
Physical Therapy	Farhanna	Hassanali
The Varsity	Paidraic	Berting

Number of Reps: 92

Number of Guests: 10

\* Please contact Gail at [membership@utgsu.ca](mailto:membership@utgsu.ca) for corrections to the roll call.

\* Corrections can also be made at the next Council meeting during approval of minutes.